

Vinylcyclopropyl TMM diyls: access to eight-membered rings

Peter Jay Mikesell and R. Daniel Little*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Received 13 February 2001; accepted 20 April 2001

Abstract—Vinylcyclopropyl substituted diazenes (e.g. 1 and 15) rearrange when heated. The chemistry takes place via the formation of a trimethylenemethane (TMM) diyl and provides access to eight-membered rings. When the cyclopropane is fused to a five-membered ring (e.g. 15) rearrangement leads to a structure resembling the taxotere framework. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In 1998, we reported the first examples of the chemistry of diradicals derived from vinylcyclopropyl diazenes such as 1. Two reaction pathways were discovered, one leading to the bicyclo[6.3.0] framework 2, the other to the [4.3.0] adduct 3. While mechanistic detail is uncertain at this time, we are confident that the first step leads to the formation of a trimethylenemethane-like diradical, 4. It is the pathway leading to the [6.3.0] ring system that holds particular interest for us as it could provide a versatile means of synthesizing eight-membered rings. In this paper we report the results of an

investigation designed to probe the effect of fusing a five-membered ring to the cyclopropane in the manner illustrated by 5.3 Our intent was to determine whether the transformation could be used to access ring systems 6, which resemble the taxotere framework.4

Diazene 15 was synthesized in the manner portrayed in Scheme 1.3 Treatment of dimethyl 1,3-cyclohexanedicarboxylate 7 with 2 equiv. of LDA and iodine in THF led to the formation of the [3.1.0] diester 8.5 Without purification, 8 was reduced using DIBAL-H in THF to

PhH, reflux
$$(88\% \text{ combined})$$

$$64: 26 \text{ of } 2/3$$

$$2$$

$$3, E = CO_2Me$$

$$Via$$

$$N = CO_2Me$$

$$N$$

0040-4039/01/\$ - see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: \$0040-4039(01)00669-4

^{*} Corresponding author.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of diyl precursor, diazene 15. (a) 2 equiv. LDA; I₂, THF, -78 to -4°C; (b) DIBAL-H, PhCH₃/THF, -78°C to rt; (c) NaH, THF, TBSCl, rt (83%); (d) PCC, NaOAc, CH₂Cl₂, rt (66%); (e) CpH, pyrrolidine, *i*-PrOH; AcOH, rt (>99%); (f) DEAD, CH₂Cl₂, -20°C; TBAF, THF, rt; diimide; KOH, EtOH, reflux, then Pd/O₂, 4°C (28% over four steps); (g) Swern oxidation (74%); (h) (MeO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et, NaH, THF, 4°C (>95%).

afford diol **9** in a 71% combined yield over three steps (esterification to produce **7**, cyclization, reduction). Mono protection as the TBS-ether was achieved in 83% yield by treating **9** with NaH in THF followed by the addition of TBSCl. PCC oxidation to afford the protected aldehyde **11** (66%), its conversion to fulvene **12** (>99%), a Diels-Alder reaction using DEAD followed, in turn, by desilylation, an in situ hydrogenation of the endocyclic π -bond of the resulting [2.2.1] adduct and conversion of the biscarbamate linkage to that of a diazene, produced **13** in a 28% yield over four steps. A

Swern oxidation and a Horner–Emmons–Wadsworth reaction using ethyl dimethylphosphonoacetate completed the sequence leading to diazene 15.

When diazene **15**, dissolved in benzene, was added dropwise to a refluxing solution of the same solvent, rearrangement occurred to afford a 1/3 ratio of two products, **16** and tetraene **17**.† Unfortunately, mass balances in the range of 32–41% were observed. We speculate, but have not unambiguously proven, that this is due to the thermal lability of the products. A low

$$CO_2Et$$
 $\frac{PhH}{reflux}$ $1:3.3$ $16:17$ H CO_2Et 15 16 17 $E = CO_2Et$

*Tricyclo(8.3.1.0³.7)tetradeca-1,3,9-triene-8-carboxylic acid methyl ester (16, methyl ester). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.62 (s, brd, 1H), 5.52 (broad s, 1H), 4.95 (d, J=7.2, 1H), 3.69–3.73 (m, 4H), 3.41 (d, J=10.4, 1H), 2.02–2.54 (m, 9H), 1.99 (d, J=10.0, 1H), 1.51–1.67 (m, 2H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.7, 152.0, 152.0, 143.7, 132.8, 116.6, 114.4, 52.2, 51.6, 46.1, 38.8, 36.2, 36.2, 36.1, 30.5, 29.6; IR (KBr, neat) 2934, 2849, 1739, 1594, 1465, 1320, 1249, 1161, 1031, 1008, 718, 756, 687 cm $^{-1}$; exact mass (M)–244.145466, calculated for C₁₆H₂₀O₂ 244.146330; mass spectrum (EI) m/z 244, 186, 155, 141, 131, 117, 97, 83, 71, 57.

3-(3-Cyclopent-1-enylmethylene-cyclohex-1-enyl)-acrylic acid methyl ester (17, methyl ester). $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37 (d, $J=15.6, 1\mathrm{H}$), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 5.87 (d, $J=16.0, 1\mathrm{H}$), 5.81 (broad s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.64 (broad t, $J=6.8, 2\mathrm{H}$), 2.38–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, $J=6.0, 2\mathrm{H}$), 2.27 (t, $J=6.0, 2\mathrm{H}$), 1.97 (app. q, $J=7.6, 2\mathrm{H}$), 1.80 (app. q, $J=6.0, 2\mathrm{H}$); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.9, 147.8, 141.8, 136.1, 134.7, 134.5, 133.9, 127.0, 115.6, 51.5, 35.2, 32.5, 32.2, 24.8, 24.3, 22.4; IR (KBr, neat) 29.46, 28.40, 1716, 1619, 1578, 1434, 1306, 1165, 979, 834 cm $^{-1}$; exact mass (M)–244.146057, calculated for $\mathrm{C_{16}H_{20}O_2}$ 244.146330 mass spectrum (EI) m/z 244, 229, 185, 57, 141, 129, 115, 91, 83.

mass balance is an uncommon outcome for fragmentation–recombination reactions of this variety; in all of the other cases we have investigated, mass balances are consistently in excess of 80%.^{1,6}

It is interesting, though certainly not unanticipated, that the tricyclic adduct 18 that could have resulted from cyclization to form a six- rather than an eight-membered ring, was not observed. We presume that the strain expressed along the reaction coordinate leading to it is sufficient to allow the pathway leading to tetraene 17 to be competitive. The appearance of tetraene 17 was unanticipated. It presumably arises via an intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer in the manner portrayed by 19.

The tricyclic cyclooctanoid 16 was isolated as a single diastereomer whose stereochemistry was determined using NOE experiments in combination with semi-empirical AM1 geometry optimizations (PC Spartan Pro v. 6.0.4). The calculations were used to determine the dihedral angle between the bridgehead proton, H_A, and the methine hydrogen H_B positioned α to the ester since this quantity can be correlated with the magnitude of the ¹H NMR coupling constant. The rather large observed value, $J_{AB} = 10.8$ Hz, is consistent with structures **B** and C where the calculated angles are 162 and 177°, but not with the other two diastereomers. To differentiate between **B** and **C** we took advantage of the fact that the methylene proton, H_C, positioned syn to the eight-membered ring is close to H_B (1.93 Å, calculated) in diastereomer **B**, while it is near H_A (1.99 Å, calculated) in C. The chemical shift for H_C (3.38 ppm) is well separated from other signals thereby making it a good candidate for an NOE experiment. That it appears so far downfield is undoubtedly due to its proximity to the anisotropic influence of the two π -bonds. Irradiation of H_C afforded a 13.2% enhancement of H_B with a negligible effect upon H_A. This result clearly establishes the structure of the product as that of diastereomer B (B=16).

An intriguing point that is not apparent from the drawings is that the 1,3-diene subunit is forced to assume two very different geometries, **20** and **21**, depending upon whether the bridgehead hydrogen is on the α - or the β -face. In the former, that is with diastereomers **B** and **D**, the π -units are substantially twisted so that they are out of conjugation, whereas near planarity can be achieved when it is on the β -face. It is interesting, therefore, that the isolated product **16** corresponds to one wherein conjugation is significantly reduced. While we have not demonstrated experimentally the effect of this arrangement, it is reasonable to assume that each of the C–C π -bonds may behave independently in attempts to use them to introduce functionality.

The chemistry described demonstrates that the rearrangement of vinylcyclopropyl-substituted trimethylenemethane diradicals, typified by the conversion of 1 to 2 and 15 to 16, can be used to construct the taxotere framework. While the conversion of 15 to 16 is not nearly as efficient as we would have liked, the problems seem correctable simply by replacing the abstractable hydrogens with a non-transferable group (e.g. *gem*-methyl). Efforts to do so are underway.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

References

- Carroll, G. L.; Little, R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1893–1896.
- 2. Petasis, N. A.; Patane, M. A. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 5757.
- 3. Mikesell, P. M. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, 2000.
- 4. Ott, M. M.; Little, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1610–1616 and references cited therein.
- Wiberg, K. B.; Artis, D. R.; Bonneville, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7969–7979.
- Carroll, G. L. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, 2001.